

## **JOURNAL**

## OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Thursday - 11 September 1969

(3) (1)	1.			In response to his request of 8 September,
		y Sourwine,		ernal Security Subcommittee staff, and
25 <b>X</b> 1	conveyed the available facts regarding			
25 <b>X</b> 1	_			-
25 <b>X</b> 1				
	2.			Russ Blandford, Chief Counsel, House
25X1 25X1			•	ed regarding the application
.5/(1	whom Blandford had strongly recommended for employment. Blandford said he knew all about how we give "thoughtful consideration" to such cases			
			_	the Agency for many such favors but he
25X1	action." He said he hadn't asked the Agency for many such favors but he wanted results this time particularly in view of the fact that had			
	excellent qualifications including past Agency service. I told Blandford			
		•	_	where the case stood.
	2 0 0			
25 <b>X</b> 1 .	3.			Larry Conrad, Constitutional Rights Sub-
	committee of Senate Judiciary Committee staff, called regarding our proposed			
	changes in Senator Ervin's suggested draft revision of S. 782. Conrad said			
	that Senator Ervin and other staff members were confused as to the intent and			
	significance of some of our proposed changes in the Senator's language and			
	would like a clarifying statement from us explaining whether our proposals			
	involved substantive matters or were merely changes of form. If they were			
	intended to change the substance of Ervin's language, they would like an			
	explanation	a. I told Co	nrad we wo	ould respond shortly.

## CONFIDENTIAL